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ABSTRACT

Alcohol consumption and stress increase brain levels of known innate immune signaling molecules. Microglia, the innate immune cells of the brain, and neurons respond to alcohol, signaling through Toll-like receptors (TLRs), high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), miRNAs, pro-inflammatory cytokines and their associated receptors involved in signaling between microglia, other glia and neurons. Repeated cycles of alcohol and stress cause a progressive, persistent induction of HMGB1, miRNA and TLR receptors in brain that appear to underlie the progressive and persistent loss of behavioral control, increased impulsivity and anxiety, as well as craving, coupled with increasing ventral striatal responses that promote reward seeking behavior and increase risk of developing alcohol use disorders. Studies employing anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-depressant, and innate immune antagonists further link innate immune gene expression to addiction-like behaviors. Innate immune molecules are novel targets for addiction and affective disorders therapies.
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1. Introduction

Neuroimmune signaling is emerging as a contributor to the development of alcohol use disorders. Alcohol consumption and stress increase brain levels of known innate immune signaling molecules. Microglia, the innate immune cells of the brain, play an important role in brain development and function. As mesodermal tissue specific monocytes, microglia express signaling molecules first characterized as “acute phase proteins” that increase in blood rapidly in response to infections. More recently, Toll-like receptors (TLRs), members of a superfamily of receptors, i.e. - interleukin-1 receptor/toll-like receptor superfamily, were found to initiate pro-inflammatory responses to infectious agents by sensing large molecules with lipid, sugar, protein and nucleic acid components. Pro-inflammatory cytokines and their associated receptors share complex signaling pathways with TLRs that converge upon NF-kB, a key innate immune transcription factor in monocyte-like cells, and other transcription factors regulated by TLR-kinase cascades. Recent studies in brain, which is generally sterile, indicate endogenous Toll-like receptors and HMGB1, with the latter two being correlated during periods of abstinence. Furthermore, human post-mortem alcoholic brains show increased expression of microglial markers, cytokines, TLR receptors and HMGB1, with the latter two being correlated with lifetime alcohol consumption across alcoholic and moderate drinking controls (Crews et al., 2013). Mechanistic studies of epilepsy find neuronal firing results in the rapid release of HMGB1 and IL-1β preceding the seizure, inducing changes in excitatory receptors that sensitize neurons to excitation, lowering seizure threshold and contributing to the “kindling” progressive increase in seizure frequency and severity with each event (Maroso et al., 2011). Although different brain regions and circuits distinguish addiction from epilepsy, HMGB1 and TLR signaling and cycles of stress and drug abuse appear to share the common mechanisms of sensitization of circuitry through increased HMGB1 and TLR signaling.

Alcohol administration to mice and rats increases expression of HMGB1 and multiple TLR receptors in brain that persist for long periods of abstinence. Furthermore, human post-mortem alcoholic brains show increased expression of microglial markers, cytokines, TLR receptors and HMGB1, with the latter two being correlated with lifetime alcohol consumption. Repeated alcohol, drug use and stress in rodents sensitize microglia toward hyperactivity, likely through induction of immune signaling (see Sections 2 and 5). Cytokine infusions into specific rodent brain regions initiate craving and alcohol consumption, and human alcoholics have increased plasma pro-inflammatory cytokines that correlate with alcohol craving and severity of dependence (see Section 5). Further, pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα, IL-6, and IL-1β play critical roles in the pathologies of mood disorders (Bhattacharya et al., 2016; Bhattacharya and Drevets, 2017). These observations, detailed further below, support the hypothesis that stress and alcohol induction of neuroimmune signaling contribute to the progressive, persistent increase in craving and mood dysfunction in addiction. Recent discoveries indicate broad induction of TLR receptors in brain as well as endogenous agonists for TLR receptors, including miRNA that activate nucleic acid sensing TLRs and HMGB1 that activates TLR4, a key signaling TLR in the brain. Although poorly understood, studies suggest that activation and escalated signaling of this system leads to progressive and persistent loss of behavioral control, increased impulsivity and anxiety, as well as negative affect and craving coupled with increasing ventral striatal responses that promote reward seeking behavior and increase risk of developing alcohol use disorders. Studies employing anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-depressant, and innate immune antagonists further link innate immune gene expression to addiction-like behaviors. In this review we discuss both preclinical rodent and human studies that examine the role of neuroimmune signaling in alcoholism. Unless otherwise noted the presented studies were performed in rodents. From these studies, we surmise that innate immune molecules are novel targets for addiction and affective disorders therapies.

2. Alcohol and stress, microglia and neuroimmune signaling

Repeated cycles of alcohol, drugs of abuse and stress interact, contributing to a progressive sequence of stages leading to addiction (Volkow et al., 2016). Alcohol, drugs of abuse and stress also trigger neuroinflammatory responses. Inflammation may have beneficial or maladaptive consequences on brain function (Fig. 1). Physical stressors, such as injury and infection, increase pro-inflammatory cytokines and other leukocyte derived proteins and prostaglandins as part of the acute inflammatory phase response. Stressor-induced inflammation also triggers adaptive behavioral changes known as “sickness behavior.” Sickness behavior includes social withdrawal, decreased activity, somnolence/sleepiness, anhedonia, etc. that help to conserve energy and facilitate recovery (Dantzer et al., 2008). Interestingly, even psychological stressors (e.g. – social stress) can cause inflammation (Stephane et al., 2007). The acute pro-inflammatory response, often referred to as Th1, includes signals that activate a delayed wound healing and cell growth through “anti-inflammatory” Th2 innate immune signaling molecules. While the benefit of the Th1 to Th2 progression following trauma or infection may be apparent, what happens in brain is poorly understood. Therefore, both physical and psychological stressors may enhance inflammation, initiating molecular and behavioral changes that facilitate recovery. While stress and inflammation can lead to the adaptive response of sickness behavior, intense or chronic stress and inflammation can become maladaptive and lead to neuropsychiatric disease such as depression. Indeed, the similarities between sickness behavior and depression have been noted (Maes et al., 2012). Models of depression include endotoxin treatments of rodents followed beyond the 24 h “sickness behavior” time course (Dantzer et al., 2008). The inflammation caused by excessive alcohol consumption may have similar maladaptive consequences.

Studies have found similar mechanisms through which stress and alcohol contribute to inflammation (Fig. 2). Stress enhances intestinal permeability and bacterial translocation from the gut lumen (Garate et al., 2013). The immune system mounts a response to the leaked bacteria, thereby causing peripheral inflammation. Alcohol also contributes to gut leakiness and translocation of bacteria from the intestinal lumen to the periphery (Adachi et al., 1995). Leaked bacterial products cause inflammation in the liver and release of pro-inflammatory (Giraldo et al., 2010) cytokines into the systemic circulation (Mayfield et al., 2013). Therefore, stress and alcohol can cause gut leakiness, leading to peripheral inflammation. Both stress and alcohol (Ellis, 1966) also increase glucocorticoids. Intriguingly, glucocorticoids have pro-inflammatory effects under the right circumstances (Sorrells et al., 2009). While glucocorticoids are acutely anti-inflammatory at high doses, glucocorticoids also have a priming effect on the inflammatory response. That is to say, prior exposure to glucocorticoids enhances the inflammatory response to subsequent stimuli (Frank et al., 2010). Therefore, both
stress and alcohol may exert inflammatory/priming effects through glucocorticoid signaling. Stressors also enhance the systemic release of cytokines (e.g. IL-1 and IL-6) (Merlot et al., 2002) as well as danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), uric acid and heat-shock protein 72 (Hsp72) (Maslanik et al., 2013). Physical stressors such as trauma can release DAMPs directly via cellular damage. Immune activation due to psychological stressors might involve multiple mechanisms including sympathetic nervous system activation, as noradrenaline causes Hsp72 release from neutrophils (Giraldo et al., 2010) or endotoxin release due to increased intestinal permeability (Ait-Belgnaoui et al., 2012; Ferrier et al., 2003) that may involve CRF release (Overman et al., 2012; Saunders et al., 2002; Teitelbaum et al., 2008). Released DAMPs and cytokines can activate innate immune cells, thereby increasing inflammatory signaling. Peripheral inflammation can impact the brain and behavior in many ways (Fig. 2) (Miller and Raison, 2016). One route is the neural route: the vagus nerve can sense peripheral inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β through IL-1 receptors (Ek et al., 1998). Activation of vagal afferents transmits signals to the central nervous system where neural centers that promote sickness behavior are activated. Indeed, vagotomy reduces the sickness behavior response to a peripheral injection of LPS (Bluthe et al., 1994). Another means by which peripheral inflammation can impact the brain and behavior is the humoral route. This includes diffusion of cytokines from the periphery through leaky regions of the blood brain barrier, such as the circumventricular organs and by transport of cytokines across the blood brain barrier. Indeed, TNFα transporters on the blood brain barrier are essential for systemic inflammation to cause brain inflammation (Qin et al., 2007). A third route is the cellular route, by which activated immune cells such as monocytes traffic into the brain. The brain recruits monocytes in response to peripheral inflammation (D’Mello et al., 2009). In each case, the peripheral inflammatory signal is transmitted to the brain, where it can impact central inflammation and behavior. Thus, alcohol abuse and stress share common mechanisms of inducing neuroimmune signaling that could contribute to the progression and persistence of the neuropathology of addiction by cycles of stress and substance abuse.

3. Sensitization of glia in the stressed and addicted brain

Microglia are the resident macrophages of the brain and the primary cells of the neuroimmune system. They are involved in many physiological processes in the healthy brain, including synaptic pruning, debris clearance, immune surveillance/defense, neurogenesis and more (Kettenmann et al., 2011, 2013). Microglia normally exist in a “resting” state, but can become activated in response to insults. Activation of microglia has been categorized according to either morphological or functional standards. Under the morphological classification, “resting” microglia are called “ramified” microglia. Morphological activation of microglia progresses through multiple states of increasing activation, including the hyper-ramified state, the bushy state and finally the ameboid state (Fig. 3) (Beynon and Walker, 2012). Fully activated ameboid microglia are phagocytic cells with a rounded morphology. To date,
no known studies have observed alcohol causing an amoeboid microglial activation. Rather, alcohol seems to sensitize microglia, inducing a hyper-ramified activation state characterized by modest morphological changes and increased release of cytokines and other signaling molecules (Fig. 3). Functional microglial activation is traditionally understood as occurring along a spectrum between two extremes, although recent studies suggest functional activation is a more complex phenomenon (Xue et al., 2014). Functional activation states range from the pro-inflammatory, destructive M1 state (comparable to systemic Th1) to the anti-inflammatory, reparative M2 state (comparable to systemic Th2). M1 microglia secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα, IL-1β and IL-6 and produce reactive oxygen species through increased iNOS and NADPH oxidase expression. M2 microglia secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 and synthesize extracellular matrix products to assist with healing (Cherry et al., 2014). The exact relationship between the morphological and functional activation states is currently unclear. Microglia also undergo another phenomenon known as priming, or sensitization. Priming occurs when an initial stimulus causes a greater microglial response to a subsequent stimulus (Perry and Holmes, 2014). Primed microglia produce a greater inflammatory response to immune stimuli than non-primed microglia.

Interestingly, astrocytes are also an important component of the brain immune system (Farina et al., 2007). Astrocytes are involved in numerous physiological processes, such as metabolic support of neurons and modulation of synaptic transmission (Khakh and Sofroniew, 2015). Astrocytes express multiple immune receptors and cytokines that allow them to participate in immune processes (Jensen et al., 2013). In response to insults, astrocytes also undergo a process of activation or reactive gliosis that serves to limit tissue damage (Pekny and Pekna, 2014). Some studies suggest that, like microglia, astrocytes are capable of adopting pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory states (Jang et al., 2013). Together, microglia and astrocytes are the primary components of the brain immune system. Both microglia and astrocytes interact with neuronal synapses, positioning these cells to regulate synaptic transmission. Therefore, changes in neuronal functioning may occur through altered glial functioning.

Both stress and alcohol can affect glia. Various types of acute and chronic stress, including restraint (Tynan et al., 2010), foot shock (Frank et al., 2007), social defeat (Wohleb et al., 2011) and chronic variable stress (Kreisel et al., 2014), increase microglial markers such as CD11b and Iba1 across multiple brain regions, consistent with microglial activation. Acute stress also primes microglia. Exposure to acute foot shock enhanced the ex vivo microglial inflammatory response to the inflammasome lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Frank et al., 2007). In addition to microglia, stress can also affect astrocytes. Sub-chronic stress increased the astrocyte marker GFAP in the hippocampus, suggestive of astrocyte activation (Lambert et al., 2000). Therefore, stress activates/primes glia. Various alcohol treatment protocols also alter glia. Ethanol increases microglial markers such as CD11b (Fernandez-Lizarbe et al., 2009) and Iba1 (Qin and Crews, 2012a) in vivo, consistent with microglial activation. Alcohol exposure can also prime the microglial response to peripheral inflammation (Qin and Crews, 2012a). Astrocytes are also affected by alcohol, as evidenced by increased GFAP (Alfonso-Loeches et al., 2010b). Other studies show that alcohol consumption can initially increase astrocytic GFAP in the hippocampus, but decrease GFAP after extended exposure (Franke, 1995). Therefore, alcohol can also impact glia. Repeated bouts of exposure to these stimuli may lead to increasing glial activation that persists over time. Indeed, two alcohol binge treatments activate microglia to a greater extent than a single binge treatment (Marshall et al., 2016b). Binge ethanol also increases microglial markers up to four weeks following the binge, indicative of persistent activation (Marshall et al., 2013). Thus, repeated episodes of stress and/or alcohol abuse may cause escalating and persistent glial activation (Fig. 3), and this pathological activation/sensitization may contribute to the neurobiology of alcohol use disorders.

4. Stress, inflammation and affective disorders

Stress and the resulting inflammation impact the neuroimmune system of the CNS. Stress sensitizes microglia to inflammation in an HMGB1-dependent manner (Weber et al., 2015). Chronic stress activates microglia in multiple brain regions (Tynan et al., 2010) and...
causes depression-like behavior. Administration of microglial-modulating agents can block the development of depression-like behavior, suggesting that microglia may have a causal role in the development of depression (Kreisel et al., 2014). Human studies also support a role for chronic stress and inflammation in affective disorders. Administration of inflammatory agents (such as interferon-γ for the treatment of hepatitis C) can cause depression in previously non-depressed patients (Bonaccorso et al., 2002). Human studies find individuals with increased plasma levels of CRP, an acute phase marker of inflammation, have an increased risk for depression (Young et al., 2014). Interestingly, increased CRP in adolescents has also been found to predict addiction later in life (Costello et al., 2013). Other studies find peripheral inflammation can increase brain expression of innate immune signals and contribute to depression and negative affect (Harrison et al., 2014). Imaging studies in humans show that a marker of microglial activation, TSPO, is elevated in patients with depression (Setiawan et al., 2015). Depressed patients who committed suicide showed increased expression of microglial markers Iba1 and CD45 and increased levels of the inflammatory cytokine Ccl2 in the cingulate cortex (Torres-Platas et al., 2014). Studies also find changes in astrocyte markers in the brains of depressed patients (Rajkowska and Stockmeier, 2013). These findings suggest that stress contributes to increased brain innate immune signaling induction and psychopathology.

Stress-induced CNS inflammation can contribute to affective disorders through many mechanisms. Acute inflammation alters the activity of neural circuitry implicated in anxiety and depression. For example, acute inflammation changes activity in the cingulate cortex, medial prefrontal cortex and amygdala (Harrison et al., 2009). Acute inflammation can also impair spatial memory in humans (Harrison et al., 2014), potentially contributing to the cognitive changes seen in affective disorders. Furthermore, studies find that a variety of cytokine receptors, such as those for TNFα, IL-1β, IL-6 and the interferons, are expressed on neurons (Khairova et al., 2009), suggesting that cytokines act directly on neurons to influence their activity. Inflammation can also act on the serotonergic system to cause depression-like behavior. LPS increases
activity of the serotonin transporter (SERT) and increases depression-like behavior. The ability of inflammation to cause depression-like behavior is lost in SERT knock-out animals (Zhu et al., 2008). Inflammation also increases the activity of an enzyme known as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) in microglia. IDO generates the compound kynurenine, which has been shown to induce depression-like behavior (O’Connor et al., 2009). Pharmacological inhibition of IDO blocked the development of depression-like behavior (O’Connor et al., 2009) and blocks microglial activation. The trafficking of peripheral inflammatory monocytes into the CNS has also been implicated in stress-induced behaviors. Stress causes trafficking of peripheral monocytes into the brain that, in turn, promotes anxiety-like behavior (Wohleb et al., 2013). Thus, stress-induced microglial activation contributes to increased depression-like negative affect and anxiety-like morbidity that overlaps with and contributes to progressive stages of addiction.

Inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα and IL-1β can also impact neuronal plasticity. Interestingly, low physiological levels of TNFα and IL-1β seem to be important for long-term potentiation (LTP); however, high pathological levels of these cytokines disrupt the process. Blocking TNFα in hippocampal slices decreased synaptic strength (Beattie et al., 2002). However, excessive TNFα can inhibit LTP in hippocampal slices (Taucredi et al., 1992). The effects of cytokines on plasticity are behaviorally relevant, as TNFα over-expressing mice show decreased performance on spatial learning and memory tasks (Aloe et al., 1999). Also, physiological doses of IL-1β are needed for memory. Blockade of IL-1β signaling by its antagonist, IL-1ra, impairs memory. However, addition of excessive IL-1β also impairs memory (Goshen et al., 2007). Therefore, immune signaling may alter neurons and neural circuitry and change behavioral phenotypes by impacting plasticity through changes in cytokine levels.

Inflammation can also impact neurogenesis, which is implicated in affective disorders. Neurogenesis occurs throughout adulthood in discrete brain regions, including the forebrain subventricular zone and the subgranular zone of the hippocampal dentate gyrus. Hippocampal neurogenesis contributes not only to learning and memory (Shors et al., 2001), but also mood and affective state (Malberg et al., 2000). Decreased neurogenesis contributes both to anxiety and depression (Hill et al., 2015). The ability of anti-depressants to alleviate depression-like behavior is dependent on hippocampal neurogenesis (Santarelli et al., 2003). Both inflammation (Ryan and Nolan, 2016) and chronic stress (Kreisel et al., 2014) reduce neurogenesis and cause depression-like behavior. Indeed, stress induces IL-1β in the hippocampus which decreases neurogenesis and contributes to depression. Inhibition of IL-1β blocks stress-induced decreases in neurogenesis and depression-like behavior (Koo and Duman, 2008). Furthermore, enhanced neurogenesis is sufficient to reverse the anxiety-like and depression-like behavior caused by chronic corticosterone treatment (Hill et al., 2015). Therefore, stress can increase CNS immune signaling, which contributes to affective disorders.

5. Innate immune molecules mimic addiction-like behavior

Like stress, excessive alcohol use can impact the neuroimmune system, contributing to the development of alcohol use disorders and related psychopathology in many ways. There are multiple mechanisms through which this can occur. Alcohol is capable of acting directly on immune cells to increase inflammation. Alcohol can directly activate microglia in vitro, increasing expression of pro-inflammatory genes such as TNFα, IL-1β and iNOS (Fernandez-Lizarbe et al., 2009). Alcohol also increases neuroimmune signaling molecules in vivo. These effects in vitro and in vivo are dependent on TLR4, which seems to play a pivotal role in alcohol-induced neuroimmune signaling (Alfonso-Loeches et al., 2010b). Repeated binge alcohol treatment persistently increases neuroimmune molecules such as TLR3, TLR4, HMGB1, RAGE, etc. (Vetreno and Crews, 2012; Vetreno et al., 2013). Studies on the post-mortem brains of human alcoholics also find increased microglial markers (He and Crews, 2008; Rubio-Araiz et al., 2016) and increased astrocyte markers (Rubio-Araiz et al., 2016). Post-mortem human alcoholic brains show increased neuroimmune molecules, such as MCP-1, IL-1β, IL-1α and IL-1β, and enzymes, such as NADPH oxidase (Qin and Crews, 2012a; Qin et al., 2008). Further, alcoholic hepatic encephalopathy patients have increased microglial proliferation (Dennis et al., 2014). Thus, alcohol increases microglia and glial signaling contributing to lasting changes.

Alcohol also sensitizes the neuroimmune system to subsequent inflammatory stimuli. Prior alcohol treatment enhanced brain levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines to immune challenges such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or polyinosine-polycytidylic acid (poly I:C) (Qin and Crews, 2012a; Qin et al., 2008). It is important to note that LPS itself does not enter the brain, but causes the systemic release of immune mediators that do enter the brain that are required for central LPS responses such as TNFα (Qin et al., 2007). It is unclear whether poly I:C crosses into the brain, but it does cause TNFα release similar to LPS (Qin and Crews, 2012a). The sensitization or priming of the neuroimmune response has important consequences for the pathogenesis of alcohol use disorders. Alcohol neuroimmune sensitization increases neurodegeneration and loss of neurogenesis following an immune challenge (Qin and Crews, 2012a, b; Qin et al., 2008). Neurodegeneration and decreased neurogenesis have been linked to a variety of neuropsychiatric diseases. Therefore, repeated exposure to alcohol enhances and sensitizes neuroimmune signaling, thereby contributing to molecular and cellular causes of alcohol use disorders and co-morbidities of alcoholism, such as affective disorders.

Current theories of addiction posit a three-staged cycle that contributes to substance use disorders: binge/intoxication, withdrawal/negative affect and preoccupation/craving (Koob and Volkow, 2010). Interestingly, the neuroimmune system can impact the neurobiology of addiction at multiple points in this process. Regarding the binge/intoxication, multiple immune interventions have been found to regulate alcohol consumption. Injection of the IL-1 receptor antagonist or the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-1ra, decreased ethanol consumption (Blednov et al., 2012). Local knockdown of TLR4 in the central amygdala also decreased ethanol self-administration (Liu et al., 2011). Local knockdown of TLR4 in the central amygdala also decreased ethanol self-administration (Liu et al., 2011). Administration of the cytokine MCP-1 increased self-administration of alcohol in rats (Valenta and Gonzales, 2016). These data suggest that neuroimmune signaling impacts alcohol consumption. Regarding the craving/preoccupation stage, which often precedes binge intoxication, blocking IL-1β signaling in the VTA was found to block cocaine-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens (Northcutt et al., 2015). Furthermore, human alcoholics have increased plasma levels of...
multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα (Heberlein et al., 2014), which correlates with severity of alcoholism, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 which correlate with alcohol craving (Heberlein et al., 2014; Leclercq et al., 2014). TNFα, IL-6, and IL-1β each cross the blood brain barrier and might contribute to central effects (Banks et al., 1994, 1995). Though the precise signaling mechanisms need to be further expounded, central pro-inflammatory cytokines modulate alcohol craving and consumption and peripheral cytokines are indices of craving and severity of alcoholism.

The immune system also impacts the withdrawal/negative affect stage. Indeed, a significant body of literature suggests that substance abuse contributes to the development of negative affective states such as anxiety and dysphoria (Koob and Le Moal, 2005). Additional substance abuse aimed at alleviating these negative states contributes to a cycle of abuse and dependence. Inflammation and cytokine induction is known to contribute to negative affect. Withdrawal from alcohol increases inflammatory cytokines in the brain (Freeman et al., 2012). Also, intracerebroventricular injection of cytokines sensitized anxiety-like behavior during withdrawal from ethanol (Breese et al., 2008). These data suggest that cytokines are involved in the ethanol withdrawal process and contribute to the negative affect of alcohol use disorders. Alcohol can also decrease neurogenesis, which is associated with negative affect. Chronic voluntary alcohol consumption decreases neurogenesis during withdrawal and is accompanied by the development of depression-like behavior (Stevenson et al., 2009). Both the decreased neurogenesis and depression-like behavior were reversed by treatment with antidepressants. Therefore, there are multiple mechanisms by which alcohol increases CNS neuroimmune signaling, contributing to the molecular and cellular causes of alcohol use disorders.

6. HMGB1, Toll-like receptors and innate immune signaling in the brain

Understanding the mechanism of signaling for many hormones and neurotransmitters has benefited from manipulations in chemical structure allowing agonist and antagonist pharmacological distinction of responses. However, receptors that respond to larger molecules are more difficult to fully characterize. In the innate immune system, this has been the case. Initial studies characterized many receptors as responding to pathogens such as bacteria and virus components. These pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and somewhat promiscuous and recently, studies involving "sterile" inflammation i.e. innate immune activation in the absence of a foreign pathogen have identified additional roles for these receptors. This is especially true for the CNS, which is normally a sterile environment, yet PRRs play critical roles in addiction pathology. PRRs have revolutionized the understanding of the innate immune system signaling. PRRs recognize specific molecular patterns associated with either foreign pathogens (Pathogen Associated Molecular Patterns-PAMPs) or endogenous molecules associated with cell death, damage or stress (Danger Associated Molecular Patterns-DAMPs). Five classes of PRRs have been described: Toll-like receptors (TLRs), C-type lectin receptors, nucleotide binding domain receptors (leucine-rich repeat containing or NOD-like receptors), RIG-I-like receptors, and AIM 2-like receptors (Brubaker et al., 2015). TLRs are the most well characterized PRRs, and have been implicated in addiction. TLRs are characterized by an N-terminal extracellular leucine-rich repeat sequence and a intracellular Toll/Interleukin-1 receptor/resistance motif (TIR) (Takeuchi and Akira, 2010). These receptors recognize PAMPs and DAMPs that include a variety of molecules from bacterial endotoxin to mammalian HMGB1 and heat shock proteins (Vabulas et al., 2002). To date ten TLRs have been identified in humans and 12 in mice (Brubaker et al., 2015). Activation of TLRs by endogenous DAMPs has been implicated in several non-infectious neurological disorders, including alcoholism (Table 1). Transcriptome analyses in Drosophila have implicated Toll genes in the alcohol response, as discussed by Park et al. in their review in this special edition (Park et al., 2017). The expression of several TLRs are upregulated in the brains of human alcoholics. TLRs 2–4 as well as the PRR RAGE (Receptor for advanced glycation End-products) were each increased in the frontal cortex of postmortem human alcoholics (Crews et al., 2013). Ethanol treatment in vivo has also been shown to increase the expression of TLRs 2–4 in cortex and cerebellum with subsequent NF-κb activation and cytokine induction (Crews et al., 2013; Lippai et al., 2013b). Furthermore, ethanol sensitizes neuroimmune responses to TLR3 and TLR4 agonists (Qin and Crews, 2012a; Qin et al., 2008). Chronic ethanol enhanced responses to systemic TLR3 and TLR4 agonists. This increased systemic and brain pro-inflammatory response is in part due to binge alcohol increasing intestinal permeability, resulting in endotoxin/LPS as well as bacterial RNA and other gut molecules crossing into the blood inducing innate immune responses (Bala et al., 2014; Keshavarzian et al., 2009; Szabo et al., 2010). Thus, there are multiple mechanisms by which ethanol leads to TLR activation and immune responses to ethanol.

High mobility group ss protein (HMGB1) has been identified as one such critical immune mediator in alcohol abuse. HMGB1 is increased in the cortex of human alcoholics (Crews et al., 2013). Similar to TLRs 2–4, ethanol treatment in vivo increases HMGB1 in cortex and cerebellum (Crews et al., 2013; Lippai et al., 2013b). HMGB1 is a nuclear chromatin binding protein that can also be released as an innate immune mediator (Muller et al., 2004). HMGB1 consists of two basic L-shaped 'HMG box' domains and an acidic 30 aa tail domain joined by a connecting linker (Stott et al., 2010). In the nucleus, HMGB1 stabilizes chromatin structure and acts as a chaperone for certain transcription factors (Thomas and Stott, 2012). Extracellular HMGB1 activates innate immune responses through direct binding to TLR4 or RAGE depending on its redox state (Janko et al., 2014) (Fig. 4). The Cys106 thiol/Cys23-Cys45 disulfide bond form of HMGB1 acts at TLR4 receptors (Fig. 4a), while fully reduced HMGB1 is active at the RAGE receptor (Fig. 4b) (Venereau et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2012). Fully oxidized HMGB1 is inactive at immune receptors (Fig. 4c) (Liu et al., 2012). Both ethanol and tobacco smoke extract cause HMGB1 translocation from the nucleus with subsequent release in vitro (Chen et al., 2016; Zou and Crews, 2014). Thus, ethanol and possibly other drugs of abuse lead to 'sterile inflammation' through the release of HMGB1. The release of other endogenous DAMPs likely also occurs, with recent reports indicating ethanol-induced release of TLR7-activating miRNA. The complex structure of HMGB1 allows it bind to a large number of molecules that also sensitize other agonist responses through their associated receptors in a complex manner that is poorly understood. The double box and cysteine-disulfide structure of HMGB1 creates unique heterodimers with lipoglycans, endotoxin, and cytokines (e.g. IL-1β, Fig. 4d) and nucleic acids (e.g. miRNA, Fig. 4e) (Bianchi, 2009; Hreggvidsdottir et al., 2009). HMGB1 enhances the potency of cytokines at their respective receptors leading to greater immune responses (Fig. 4c).

Furthermore, HMGB1 is required for immune responses to endosomal nucleic acid binding TLRs (3, 7 and 9) (Yanai et al., 2009), though the mechanism is unclear. Recent evidence has found that ethanol causes increasing binding of HMGB1 to the miRNA let-7 while reducing its association with the RISC complex protein Ago2. HMGB1 might aid in the presentation of nucleic acids to their endosomal TLR receptors. Thus, the modulation of HMGB1 by ethanol might activate signaling through multiple TLRs in addition to TLR4.
7. TLR4 - a key brain receptor involved in ethanol induced neuropathology

Ethanol likely affects the signaling of multiple TLRs. However, TLR4 in particular has been found to play a critical role in the neuroimmune activation by ethanol. TLR4 knockout mice are protected from ethanol induced glial cell activation, NF-κB activation, and caspase-3 activation (Alfonso-Loeches et al., 2010a, b; Blanco et al., 2005; Fernandez-Lizarbe et al., 2009; Pascual et al., 2011; Valles et al., 2004). Cultured astrocytes with siRNA against TLR4 or MD-2 and CD14 [critical adaptor molecules for TLR4 signaling] were also protected from ethanol induced NF-κB induction. Mice lacking TLR4 were also protected against ethanol withdrawal associated anxiety-like behavior and memory impairment (Pascual et al., 2011). Ethanol not only increases the expression of TLR4 (Crews et al., 2013; Fernandez-Lizarbe et al., 2013), it increases the formation of TLR4/TLR2 heterodimers in lipid rafts of microglia, leading to iNOS induction and MAPK activation (Fernandez-Lizarbe et al., 2013). Importantly, both TLR4 and TLR2 KO microglia were protected in this study. In another genetic model, the alcohol preferring p-rats have increased expression of TLR4 in the VTA that was related to binge ethanol responding (June et al., 2015). TLR4 expression in the VTA was regulated by GABA(A) receptor (Liu et al., 2011) and corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) expression (June et al., 2015). Pharmacological studies also illustrate the role of TLR4 in the immune pathology of ethanol. TLR4 stimulation with LPS leads to a prolonged increase in alcohol self-administration (Blednov et al., 2011). Mice lacking CD14, a critical adapter

Table 1
Selected Toll-like receptors (TLRs) implicated in neurological diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLR</th>
<th>Foreign PAMP</th>
<th>Endogenous DAMP</th>
<th>Neurological condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bacterial di- and tri-acylated polypeptides (Buwitt-Beckmann et al., 2006)</td>
<td>α-synuclein</td>
<td>Parkinson's Disease (Kim et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gram (+) lipoglyans (Blanc et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>stathmin</td>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease (Jackson et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple Sclerosis (Bsibsi et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bacterial endotoxin Peptidoglycans</td>
<td>HMGB1 HSPs 60, 70/72 (Vabulas et al., 2002)</td>
<td>Stroke, Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ssRNA (Lehmann et al., 2012b)</td>
<td>Let-7, miR-21</td>
<td>Alcoholism (Crews et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease (Lehmann et al., 2012a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic Pain (Park et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Mechanisms of HMGB1 signaling. The HMGB1 protein is comprised of two similar Box structures, A and B, and a long C terminal negatively charged tail. There are several cysteines, some of which can form disulfide bonds. HMGB1 is secreted from activated or stressed cells or from dying necrotic cells and acts as an immune modulator. A. TLR4 Agonist HMGB1. The reduced Cys106 thiol/Cys23-Cys45 disulfide bond form of HMGB1 acts directly as an agonist at TLR4 receptors to cause innate immune activation. B. RAGE agonist-HMGB1. The fully reduced non-disulfide form of HMGB1 acts at RAGE receptors to cause innate immune induction and neurite outgrowth. C. Heterodimer HMGB1/IL-1 agonist: HMGB1 forms pro-inflammatory complexes with cytokines such as IL-1 to cause enhanced IL-1 signaling through the IL-1 receptor and greater innate immune induction. D. The fully oxidized form of HMGB1 is inactive at immune receptors. E. HMGB1-miRNA-chaperone: HMGB1 binds miRNA and activates the RNA sensing TLR7 receptor increasing immune activation.
protein for TLR4, were protected from this effect. Naltrexone and Naloxone, opioid antagonists used to treat alcoholism, also have anti-TLR4 actions. These antagonists reduce superoxide generation, cytokine production, and dopaminergic neurotoxicity by the TLR4 agonist LPS (Liu et al., 2000a, 2000b). In Silico analyses indicate that naltrexone and naloxone fill the LPS binding site of the MD2 co-receptor for TLR4 (Hutchinson et al., 2010). Furthermore, the opioid inactive stereoisomers (+)-naltrexone and (-)-naloxone have been shown recently to possess anti-TLR4 properties (Wang et al., 2016) indicating an immune mechanism that is independent of the opioid effects. These studies have identified a link between neuroimmune activation by ethanol via TLR4 signaling. This, coupled with the aforementioned studies regarding the endogenous TLR4 agonist HMGB1, emphasizes the importance of studying the efficacy of targeted TLR4 antagonists for the treatment of alcohol use disorders. Thus, significant opportunities exist for identifying unique drug targets for neuroimmune pathology in alcoholism.

8. Induction of innate immune genes, and miRNA

Innate immune signaling is complex and involves multiple intracellular signaling cascades that lead to activation of key transcription factors. TLR signaling is mediated through key adapter proteins. Upon recognition of the ligand by the TLR, these adapter proteins initiate the signaling cascade. The TRIFAP/MyD88 adapter protein complex interacts with all the TLRs, except for TLR3. TRIFAP/MyD88 complex formation leads to activation of IL-1 receptor-associated kinases (IRAKs) and TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) which cause IkB and MAPK activation. IkB and MAPK ultimately lead to activation of the transcription factors Nuclear Factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of Activated B cells (NF-κB) and Activated Protein-1 (AP-1) respectively. These transcription factors regulate the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines that propagate and magnify the immune response. Furthermore, these transcription factors are also linked to both stress and addictive behaviors. For instance, NF-κB activation occurs in the nucleus accumbens in response to cocaine, and was required for increased conditioned place preference (Ang et al., 2001; Russo et al., 2009).

Restraint stress increases the expression of NF-κB, cytokines, prostaglandin E2, and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in the CNS (Madrigal et al., 2002, 2003). Also, ethanol has been found to induce AP-1 transcription in primary cortical neurons (Qiang and Ticku, 2005). Subcellular location and receptor trafficking also contribute to TLR responses, with nucleic acid sensing TLRs being endosomal and cell surface trafficking to endosomal TLRs (including TLR4 after its endocytosis) also bind to the TRIF adapter protein leading to transcription factor IRF3 activation and type I interferon gene induction. It is important to note that responses vary across cell type and most signaling has been characterized in monocyte and other immune cells that likely differ from that of brain cells.

The precise signaling pathways for the TLRs in each brain cell type have yet to be delineated. Both microglia and astrocytes clearly show canonical TLR4 responses to ethanol leading to NF-κB activation and further innate immune induction. However, TLR responses in neurons are poorly understood. Some have suggested that neurons are not capable of activating NF-κB gene transcription (Mao et al., 2009). Others, however, find NF-κB activation in glutamatergic neurons that may regulate plasticity, learning and memory (Kaltschmidt and Kaltschmidt, 2015). However, RAGE was originally identified as a neuronal receptor that bound HMGB1, originally called amphoterin, involved in neurite outgrowth (Hori et al., 1995). Ethanol is known to activate NF-κB in neurons in brain slice culture (Zou and Crews, 2006) and in vivo (Ward et al., 1996). The activated p-65 NF-κB subunit has been shown to colocalize with dorsal horn spinal neurons (Bai et al., 2014) and both P19 and SH-SY5Y neuronal cell lines show NF-κB dependent regulation of μ-opioid receptor expression (Borner et al., 2012; Wagley et al., 2013). These responses are complex in part due to the release of HMGB1 by stimuli that can subsequently activate multiple TLR and RAGE signals difficult to distinguish from the primary stimuli. For example, ethanol responses in brain involve signaling across microglia, astroglia and neurons. HMGB1 is one of the signals that contribute to neuroimmune activation and other responses. A comparison of ethanol activation of neuroimmune signaling in neuron-like SH-SY5Y and microglia-like BV cells finds ethanol induces TLR7 mRNA in both cell types, but neurons respond at concentrations below binge drinking blood levels whereas microglia-like BV cells do not respond until high concentrations of alcohol well above the binge drinking BAC, but had a more robust response (Fig. 5). Though the unique cellular roles need to be further identified, it is clear that ethanol activates NF-κB signaling in brain. We have found that ethanol increases NF-κB–DNA binding both in vivo in mice (Crews et al., 2006) and in vitro in rat hippocampal-entorhinal cortical slice culture (Zou and Crews, 2006). Furthermore, we and others have found that ethanol causes induction of NF-κB target genes in brain, such as the chemokine monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1, CCL2) (He and Crews, 2008), proinflammatory cytokines (TNFα, IL-1β, and IL-6) (Qin et al., 2007), proinflammatory oxidases (inducible nitric oxide synthase.
(Alfonso-Loeches et al., 2010b; Zou and Crews, 2010), COX (Alfonso-
Loeches et al., 2010b; Knapp and Crews, 1999), and NOX (Qin et al.,
2008), and proteases (TNFα-converting enzyme [TACE] and tissue
plasminogen activator [TPA]) (Zou and Crews, 2010).

In addition to activation of TLRs via release of DAMPs, ethanol also
modulates immune function through release of microRNAs
(miRNA). MicroRNAs are small non-coding RNAs (~22 nucleotides).
Neuroimmune regulation of miRNAs is extensive with numerous
miRNAs involved (Thounaojam et al., 2013). These molecules
regulate immune function in two known fashions. First, they
regulate the stability of target mRNAs in the cytosol via formation
of an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) and binding to the 3'-
untranslated region of mRNA (Ambros, 2004; Bartel, 2004; Czech
and Hannon, 2011). The role of microRNAs as transcriptional reg-
ulators in alcoholism is discussed by Warden and Mayfield in their
article in this special edition (Warden and Mayfield, 2017). Another
newly identified mechanism whereby ethanol modulates immune
activation is through the extracellular release of miRNA
(Turchinovich et al., 2013). Extracellular miRNA are contained
either in extracellular vesicles (EVs) or bound to either protein or
lipooprotein chaperones. MicroRNA-containing vesicles are capable
of being endocytosed by other cells where they can modulate their
function. Recently, microRNA in EVs from ethanol treated mono-
cytes were found to modulate the activation state of naive mono-
cytes (Saha et al., 2016). Further, the miRNAs let-7 and miR-21 were
found to activate TLR7, leading to neuroimmune activation and
neurodegeneration (Lehmann et al., 2012a; Velamanchili et al.,
2015). Thus, these miRNAs can have diverse actions to modulate
neuroimmunity, both through regulation of mRNA stability and TLR
activation. Ethanol exposure causes significant alterations to the
miRNA milieu in the brain. In both human alcoholics and mice (20
day treatment), ethanol altered the expression of dozens of miRNAs
in the frontal cortex (Lewohl et al., 2011; Nunez and Mayfield, 2012;
Nunez et al., 2013). Interestingly, members of the miRNA let-7
family were induced across species. Recently, ethanol has been
found to potentiate TLR7-mediated neurotoxicity via release of let-
7 in MVs (Coleman et al., 2017). The miR-155 is also found in ves-
cicles and promotes TLR4 associated neuroimmune responses after
chronic ethanol (Lippai et al., 2013a). The miR-155 KO was pro-
tected from ethanol-induction of cytokines. Thus, ethanol modu-
lation of miRNA release is an important aspect of innate immune
induction. These pathways represent new pathological mechan-
isms that are poorly understood, but are targets for potential
intervention in the pathology of alcoholism.

9. Autocrine and paracrine processes amplify NF-κB innate
immune gene induction

As has been discussed above, regulation of innate immune re-
sponses in the brain is complex. This involves microglial priming
and changes in activation state, microglia-neuronal interactions,
and positive and negative feedback of intracellular signaling cas-
cades. The transcription factor NF-κB is a critical modulator of
immune function. Both stress and alcohol result in NF-κB activation
through the immune mechanisms discussed above. Various models
of stress in humans and rodents result in central and peripheral NF-
κB activation in immune cells. Human psychosocial stress causes
NF-κB activation in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Bierhaus
et al., 2003). Restrain stress in rodents causes NF-κB trans-
location into the nucleus with subsequent production of TNFs and
pro-inflammatory prostaglandins (Bierhaus et al., 2003; Madrigal
et al., 2002). Ethanol also activates NF-κB in rat and mouse brain
(Qin and Crews, 2012b; Ward et al., 1996), as well as human as-
trocytes (Davis and Syapin, 2004). The system itself is well-
regulated. However, in the context of repeated or prolonged
activation, dysregulation occurs leading to many disease states (de
Jesus et al., 2015). Recurrent and persistent NF-κB activation by
repeated alcohol consumption appears to mimic a chronic inflam-
matory state. This is evidenced by increased innate immune
markers in the post-mortem brains of human alcoholics (Coleman
et al., 2017; Crews et al., 2013) Further, NF-κB target genes are
upregulated in the prefrontal cortex of alcoholics (Okvist et al.,
2007). This persistent and chronic immune response has also
been seen after one dose of systemic LPS. One administration
of high dose LPS (5 mg/kg) resulted in persistent microglial activation
with ROS generation that led to dopaminergic neuron loss over a
period of months (Qin et al., 2013). Though on a lower scale, binge-
ethanol doses cause similar pathophysiology (Bala et al., 2014; Qin
et al., 2008; Zou and Crews, 2010).

The prolonged amplification of neuroimmune responses occurs
on multiple levels. TLR activation in response to ethanol causes NF-
κB activation, leading to increased TLR expression, cytokine pro-
duction and DAMP release. This amplifies immune responses in an
autocrine and paracrine fashion, as secreted DAMPs and cytokines
activate both their cell of origin and surrounding cells (Fig. 6).
Paracrine signaling induced by ethanol also proceeds through the
release of pro-inflammatory miRNA-containing microvesicles (Bala
et al., 2011; Coleman et al., 2017; Szabo and Lippai, 2014). This
method of intercellular paracrine signaling has been implicated in
many conditions such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, and neu-
rodegeneration (Hulsmans and Holvoet, 2013; Lehmann et al.,
2012a; Ohshima et al., 2010). Also, ethanol induces ROS genera-
tion that activates NF-κB and leads to further cytokine release and
paracrine signaling (Pietri et al., 2005; Qin and Crews, 2012b;
Thakur et al., 2007). A ‘smoldering’ level of inflammation due to
repeated binge-ethanol, as is typical with alcoholism, might under-
nerlie the transition from alcohol abuse to addiction. Thus, phar-
macological strategies should target the amplification and
persistance of the immune response, as this likely underlies disease
pathology.

10. New treatments strategies based on immune
pharmacology

The discovery of immune mechanisms of alcohol presents a new
approach for the treatment of alcohol use disorders. It is currently
unclear whether immune therapies would be of highest benefit for
prevention or recovery from alcohol addiction. Neuroimmune
activation can contribute to neurodegeneration in many disease
settings (Crews and Vetreno, 2014; Qin et al., 2013; Rocha et al.,
2015; von Bernhardi et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Microglia and
cytokines might also alter synaptic signaling (Lacagnina et al.,
2017). For example, IL-1β reduces eIPSCs in the central amygdala
to modulate ethanol effects on GABA receptors (Bajo et al., 2015).
Other immune mediators might also impact synaptic signaling.
Though immune therapies would likely not be of benefit in brain
regions where neurodegeneration has already occurred, there
might yet still be benefit if they allow for recovery of normal syn-
aptic function. Therefore, significant exploration of immune ther-
apiies in various models of alcohol abuse is warranted. Many
commercially available drugs have been found to have anti-
flammatory actions in the CNS. Certain antibiotics in particular
have immune actions in addition to their antimicrobial functions.
Table 2 details some candidate drugs that have been found to be
beneficial for alcohol use disorders or other neuroimmune condi-
tions. Minocycline, for example, is a tetracycline antibiotic and
microglial inhibitor (Plane et al., 2010) that prevents ethanol
induced-microglial activation and reduces alcohol self-
administration (Agrawal et al., 2011; Qin and Crews, 2012a).
Phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4) inhibitors have traditionally been used
to treat psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. These drugs are thought to reduce inflammation by increasing cAMP concentration resulting in reduced NF-κB activation (Jimenez et al., 2001). Recently, these agents have been found to reduce ethanol intake in rodents (Bell et al., 2015; Blednov et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2011). A phase I clinical trial involving the PDE4 inhibitor ibudilast was recently completed but has not yet been reported. A recent placebo-controlled trial found that ibudilast reduced some of the subjective reward effects of methamphetamine (Worley et al., 2016). PPARγ agonists, historically used for the treatment of type II diabetes, might also be helpful in alcohol use disorders through their anti-inflammatory activities. The PPARγ agonist pioglitazone is a microglial inhibitor (Storer et al., 2005) that reduces toxicity in models of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (Drew et al., 2015; Kane et al., 2011) and may potentially play a beneficial role in alcoholism. Each of these drugs, and others with anti-inflammatory actions...

Fig. 6. Autocrine and Paracrine Innate Immune Activation by Ethanol. Ethanol causes Toll-like Receptor (TLR) activation in both autocrine and paracrine fashions. This occurs through release of endogenous TLR agonists. HMGB1 acts at TLRs directly and also acts as a chaperone for miRNA such as let-7 in microvesicles, promoting TLR7 signaling. Cytokines such as IL-1β bind cytokine receptors. Immune mediators act in both autocrine and paracrine fashions on neurons and microglia to amplify neuroimmune responses.
 behaviors. For instance, Guerri and colleagues (Pascual et al., 2011) appear to be essential for ethanol induction of addiction-like behavior. Indeed, innate immune activation and TLR signaling via ethanol promotes anti-inflammatory M2 microglial activation state (Zhang et al., 2015)

Another in vivo study demonstrated that ethanol-induced innate immune activation in rats impaired short- and long-term memory for object recognition (Liu and Crews, 2015). Note the ethanol-triggered induction of β2M during abstinence, but not at P57, just after ethanol treatment ended. (**p < 0.01 compared with control at P55; #p < 0.05 compared with AIE at P57).

### 11. Neuroimmune basis of addiction

A hypothesis of stress- and drug-induced neuroimmune signaling that inactivates PFC and sensitizes limbic circuitry that suggests the progressive and persistent increases in HMGB1 and TLR with cycles of stress and drug abuse are the mechanisms underlying the progressive and persistent nature of addiction. Innate immune signaling is known to have a major impact on cognitive and emotive functioning, leading to dysfunction (Dantzer et al., 2008; Hanke and Kielian, 2011; Okun et al., 2010; Yirmiya and Goshen, 2011). Ethanol and other drugs promote innate immune gene induction (Crews and Vetreno, 2016; He and Crews, 2008; Qin et al., 2008) that are linked to changes in executive function, reinforcement-reward and negative affect-craving-anxiety that promote alcohol abuse and addiction (Vetreno and Crews, 2014).

Indeed, innate immune activation and TLR signaling via ethanol appear to be essential for ethanol induction of addiction-like behaviors. For instance, Guerri and colleagues (Pascual et al., 2011) demonstrated that ethanol-induced innate immune activation in mice impaired short- and long-term memory for object recognition. This behavioral impairment was accompanied by a reduction of H3 and H4 histone acetylation as well as histone acetyltransferase activity in the frontal cortex, striatum, and hippocampus. Interestingly, ethanol neither impaired behavioral performance nor altered histone activity in TLR4 knockout mice. Another inflammatory pathway, mediated by the RAGE receptor, might be involved in the memory impairments associated with chronic alcohol exposure since neuroinflammation associated with increased expression of this receptor is implicated in the memory impairments that accompany Alzheimer’s disease (Arancio et al., 2004; Fang et al., 2010; Maczurek et al., 2008; Wilson et al., 2009). Adolescent intermittent ethanol treatment of rats has been found to increase expression of RAGE that persists into adulthood and mimics the increase found in post-mortem human alcoholic brain (Vetreno et al., 2013). Thus, HMGB1, TLRs, RAGE, and other innate immune signaling are likely to drive changes in neurobiology related to addiction.

The innate immune system can modulate alcohol consumptive behavior. Analysis of genetically paired rats and mice that differ primarily in the amount of ethanol consumption reveal that NF-κB and other pro-inflammatory genes have high expression in high ethanol drinking animals (Mulligan et al., 2006). Beta-2 microglobulin, a NF-κB target gene involved in MHC immune signaling

---

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Mechanism Primary Immune</th>
<th>CNS activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minocycline</td>
<td>Tetracycline antibiotic Microglial inhibitor</td>
<td>Reduces alcohol self-administration (Agrawal et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapamycin</td>
<td>Macrolide antibiotic mTORC1 inhibitor</td>
<td>Reduces binge ethanol intake in males (Cazzoli et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>Macrolide antibiotic Microglial inhibitor</td>
<td>Neuroprotection via Autophagy Promotion (Chen et al., 2012; Pla et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td>Bacterial RNA polymerase inhibitor TLR4 inhibition</td>
<td>Inhibits microglia activation to TLR4 (Bi et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indomethacin</td>
<td>COX-2 inhibitor</td>
<td>Reduces alcohol self-administration (George, 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simvastatin</td>
<td>HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitor NF-κB inhibition</td>
<td>Reduces ethanol neurotoxicity (Pascual et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycyrrhizin</td>
<td>Blocks ethanol-induced cytokine release</td>
<td>Reduces inflammation and neurotoxicity to ischemia and injury (Lim et al., 2017; Sironi et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioglitazone, DHA</td>
<td>PPARγ Agonists</td>
<td>Reduces neuroinflammation after traumatic brain injury (Okuna et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibudilast, Mesopram, Rolipram, CDF 840</td>
<td>Phosphodiesterase 4 inhibition</td>
<td>Reduces toxicity and pro-inflammatory cytokines in fetal alcohol spectrum disorder model (Drew et al., 2015; Kane et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naltrexone/Naloxone</td>
<td>µ-opioid antagonist TLR4 inhibition</td>
<td>Reduces alcohol self-administration in rats (Bell et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Pahl, 1999), was elevated in high ethanol preferring brain transcriptomes (Mulligan et al., 2006). Interestingly, adolescent intermittent ethanol treatment results in increased expression of beta-2 microglobulin in the adult hippocampus (Fig. 7). Beta-2 microglobulin progressively increases following adolescent alcohol exposure (Fig. 7) in a manner similar to that found with HMGB1 (Vetreno and Crews, 2012) and RAGE (Vetreno et al., 2013). In addition, work from Harris, Blednov and colleagues provided interesting and novel data supporting the hypothesis that innate immune genes regulate ethanol drinking behavior (Blednov et al., 2005, 2012). Multiple strains of mice with immune gene deletion universally drink significantly less ethanol than matched controls across multiple ethanol drinking paradigms. Recently, Blednov and colleagues (Blednov et al., 2011) also demonstrated that innate immune activation through LPS can cause long-lasting increases in ethanol drinking. Indeed, strains of mice show varied innate immune responses to LPS that correspond to increases in the consumption of ethanol. Furthermore, a single injection of LPS is capable of producing a delayed, but long-lasting increase in ethanol consumption even in strains of high drinking mice. Recent data has revealed that the TLR family, especially TLR4, modulates ethanol intake. Administration of a GABAaRα2 siRNA vector into the central nucleus of the amygdala of alcohol-prefering rats diminished binge drinking, which was associated with reduced expression of TLR4 (Liu et al., 2011). Interestingly, the neuronal location of GABAα2 receptors suggests that the influence of TLR4 on binge drinking is at least partially mediated by neurons. Taken together, these findings are consistent with a modulatory role of innate immune genes in alterations in GABA signaling as well as alcohol preference and consumption.

Frontal cortical executive functions include: motivation, planning and goal setting, and behavioral flexibility. Among social drinkers the heaviest binge drinkers report more negative mood and perform worse on executive functioning tasks (Townshend and Duka, 2003; Weissenborn and Duka, 2003). The frontal cortex regulates mood and cognition through reciprocal glutamatergic connections with multiple brain regions. In astrocytes, ethanol exposure induces NF-κB transcription leading to increased expression of pro-inflammatory innate immune genes (Pascual et al., 2007; Zou and Crews, 2006, 2010) and reduced astrocyte glutamate transport (Zou and Crews, 2005). The increased extracellular glutamate levels lead to increased neuronal excitation, microglial activation, and excitotoxicity (Ward et al., 2009; Zou and Crews, 2006). Indeed, increased glutamate excitotoxicity contributes to ethanol-induced increases of caspase-3 and COX-2 in the frontal cortex that require TLR4 signaling (Alfonso-Loeches et al., 2010b; Knapp and Crews, 1999). Glutamatergic hyper-excitability has also been demonstrated to occur in the cocaine- and stimulant-addicted brain (Reissner and Kalivas, 2010). Administration of innate immune genes in the reduction of glutamate transporters and subsequent hyper-excitability reduces frontal cortical executive function that contributes to the neurobiology of addiction (Crews et al., 2006, 2011). Frontal cortical dysfunction is common in the alcoholic brain (Crews and Boettiger, 2009) and is manifest in impulsivity and behavioral inflexibility. Reversal learning is an index of behavioral flexibility that is especially relevant to addiction. Reversal learning involves adjusting learned responses to changes in reinforcement-reward (Stahnaker et al., 2009). Indeed, proper frontal cortex function is necessary to weigh the value of decisions and is important when new learning and/or responses are reinforced. The involvement of the frontal cortex in reversal learning is supported by lesion-induced reversal learning deficits similar in nature to chronic drug abuse-induced dysfunction (Schoenbaum et al., 2006). The entire reversal learning circuitry involves loops between the prefrontal cortex, striatum and amygdala (Izquierdo et al., 2016). This paradigm models the changes that occur when the initial reinforcement, intoxication, and binge drinking stages of alcohol abuse progress to obsessive-compulsive stages that are not able to avoid negative consequences of drinking due to persistent perseveration and inability to learn new approaches to reinforcement when situations change. Indeed, both human alcoholics (Fortier et al., 2008; Jokisch et al., 2014) and cocaine addicts (Stalnaker et al., 2009) show reversal learning deficits. Using a rat model of binge drinking, we found persistent deficits in reversal learning in rats (Obernier et al., 2002) and in adult mice following adolescent binge drinking (Coleman et al., 2011). Others have also seen reversal learning deficits in adult mice after chronic ethanol (Badanich et al., 2011). Interestingly, these reversal learning deficits are also found in rats exposed to self-administered cocaine or passive cocaine injections (Calu et al., 2007; Schoenbaum et al., 2004). Frontal cortical dysfunction is established as resulting in perseveration and repetition of previously learned behaviors due to failure to associate new information (e.g. negative consequences) into decision-making. Alcohol-induced damage to other regions associated with reversal learning (i.e. striatum and amygdala) might also contribute to reversal learning deficits and should be investigated. Further, the efficacy of immune modulating therapies to treat cognitive dysfunction associate with alcohol abuse should be investigated. Considerable emerging evidence supports a role for HMGB1/TLR signaling, innate immune gene induction and alterations in epigenetics and neurotransmission as culminating in the neurobiology of addiction (Crews et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2015; Vetreno and Crews, 2014).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank NIAAA for their financial support. (AA019767, AA11605, AA007573, and AA021040)

References

Bisbi, M., Bajramovic, J.J., Vugt, M.H., van Duijvenvoorden, E., Baghat, A., Persoon-


