Present: Robert Bell, Chip Eckardt, Elizabeth Glogowski, Bob Hooper, Marquell Johnson, Patricia Kleine, Colleen Marchwick, Jill Markgraf, Bill Miller, Charlotte Sortedahl,

Absent: Albert Colom, Selika Ducksworth-Lawton, John Haven, Sean McAleer, Geoffrey Peterson, James Schmidt, Pedro Sottile, Tom Storm, Evan Weiher

Guest: Mike Carney

A special meeting of the University Senate Executive Committee was called to order by Vice Chair Eckardt at 3:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 10, 2019 in the Council Oak Room of Davies Center.

1) Approval of the Minutes of September 3, 2019
   • Approved as distributed

2) Ten Minute Open Forum
   • None

3) Announcements
   • Next week, we will first have a joint meeting with the Student Senate Executive Committee and the University Staff Council Executive Committee for a presentation from the Chancellor. Immediately following adjournment from this meeting, we will call the University Senate Executive Committee to order

4) ORSP Position/Search
   • Draft position description(s) were shared
   • Where in the FASRP does the Executive Committee feels it belongs
   • Will be an open/national search
   • Comments
     • Should be tied to the academic side of the house
     • This position needs to be elevated to the point that they report directly to the Provost
       • Is the backbone of the university
       • Want to see us continue to improve
     • The way it is written it opens us up for more candidates
     • Should have an appointment in an academic unit
     • Should be someone who has research experience
     • They should have publications/track record
       • You could do this with a masters but more likely with a PhD
     • Statistical help from this office might be beneficial to some
       • It falls under helping people write good proposals and this is addressed in the description
     • Reporting structure should be looked at
     • Assistant Chancellor title not used for this because this is not how this position functions
     • Pleased to see the changes made over the last week
     • The timeline seems tight
       • Concern that if faculty then they might not be willing to leave their institution in the middle of the year so the start date should be more negotiable
     • Consensus that if filling it as an executive director, then run it as an executive director search
       • We need to highlight the awards and achievements on this campus
       • Committee make-up should be largely academic affiliated
       • Do not want them to lose sight of the importance of some issues
       • Would like for them to have an academic home
5) LTS Reorganization
   • Want to bring data sets together
   • Draft diagram was shared
   • LTS currently reports through Academic Affairs, which is unusual
   • Looking for suggestions
   • New tool knowledge and data knowledge is what it needed
   • Categories on the diagram are more functions than persons
   • In UW, the reporting is split where here we report to academics and that is a benefit, so students are front and center
   • This person could also provide support to people on campus as we do not currently have anyone
     • We rely on the goodwill of a few current faculty to help us with that
   • Very important that this remains part of Academic Affairs
     • Don’t want to lose that focus
   • Could clarify who they report to as it could be read incorrectly
   • If doing a search what committee structure would one use
     • “director” position search
       • Important to have data driven people on the search committee as well as consumers of this position
       • Would want at least one on the administrative side
       • Provost could put together what she thinks would be a good committee structure then run it back by the University Senate Executive Committee
     • Could go on next week’s Executive Committee agenda

Meeting adjourned at 3:51 p.m.

Submitted by,
Tanya Kenney
Secretary of the University Senate