Article 6: Assessment

Section 6.01 One-Year Funding
Proposals funded for only one year will have to submit a proposal the following year in order to continue to receive funds. In order to be approved for another year of funding, or to qualify for multi-year or base funding, the proposal is expected to contain assessment data or a statement of achieved outcomes in order to receive funds. Assessment and recommendation will occur in the Fall Semester through the previously established Funding Analysis Committee process as defined in Article 4.

Section 6.02 Multi-Year Proposals

Section 6.02.1 Progress Reports
Proposals that receive multi-year funding will be expected to submit an annual progress report to continue to receive funding.

This report will be submitted to the Department Chair or Unit Director in mid-February. Chairs/Directors will then share their findings with their corresponding Dean or Vice Chancellor. Deans and Vice Chancellors will then share their reports with the Provost’s Office.

Section 6.02.2 Funding Analysis Committee Review
After reports are due to the Provost’s Office, the Funding Analysis Committee (as defined in Article 4) will meet to assess progress of the proposals. The FAC will convene in early March, and its decisions may include the continuation of planned funding, new goals or expectations to be assessed by for the remainder of the proposal’s current funding period, or in unfortunate circumstances, discontinued funding.

These decisions will be shared with proposers and their supervisors, as well as with the Student Senate.

6.03 Base-Funded Proposals

6.03.1 Progress Reports
Proposals that have been granted base-funding must submit a progress report to the Provost’s Office in their third year of funding. This report will be submitted in February, and will be reviewed by the Funding Analysis Committee in March.

6.03.2 Funding Analysis Committee Review
The Funding Analysis Committee will convene in March to review the proposal’s progress and determine whether or not the proposal has adequately achieved the necessary outcomes to justify continued funding.
6.03.2(a) Continued Funding
If adequate progress is determined by the FAC during review, the proposal will receive an additional five fiscal years of funding before undergoing the full review process again.

6.03.2(b) Probationary Status
If adequate progress is not achieved (as determined by the FAC during review) the program will be placed under probationary status, the terms of which may be determined by the FAC.

If the terms of a proposal’s probationary status are met by the FAC’s established deadline, the program will receive an additional five years of funding before undergoing the full review process again.

6.04 Student Senate Assessment Oversight
All funding decisions assessed by the Funding Analysis Committee will be presented before the Student Senate as soon as possible after notifications are sent to proposers.

6.04.1 Funding Increases
If for any reason at all the Funding Analysis Committee finds it necessary to increase funding to a specific proposal during review, any funding increase must be brought before the Student Senate in bill form and be passed by a 2/3 majority.