BUILDING COMMITTEE MEETING NOTES / SEPTEMBER 8, 2011

MEETING START TIME: 1:00 p.m.
MEETING END TIME: 4:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gail Scukanec</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Wick</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duffy Duyfhuizen</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aram deKoven</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter Smith</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Gough</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Boulter</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Ponkratz</td>
<td>UW-Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val Schute</td>
<td>River Architects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aram Adler</td>
<td>River Architects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coty Ekhoff</td>
<td>SmithGroup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:

1. The following items were distributed for the meeting:
   a. Copy of PowerPoint presentation dated September 8, 2011.

2. Val Schute opened the meeting with a review of the developments since the last meeting held on August 25, 2011. The following items were noted:
   a. User group review meetings. Additional meetings to be held with remaining groups on September 13, 2011.
   b. UW-Eau Claire Facilities review meeting regarding site issues and west plaza. Project Manager Russ Van Gilder advised including west plaza as an alternate bid. Programming direction needed from campus as soon as possible. Gail Scukanec will schedule a meeting with the Master Planning Committee.
   c. Current analysis indicates that the Little Niagra may not be the desired approach for handling the stormwater from the Education Building. JJR reviewing the calculations and will discuss their concerns with the City of Eau Claire. JJR has proposed routing the stormwater to Garfield Avenue where it can be emptied into the Chippewa River.
   d. Next Building Committee meeting to be held September 20, 2011.

3. A comment provided during the University Chairs meeting on September 8, 2011 regarding the amount of space for students to gather between classes outside of the 140 and 160 seat lecture halls was discussed. The following items were noted:
   a. Hallway width limits the circulation of students entering and exiting the lecture halls.
   b. Can the computer lab be opened as an informal computer lab and provide more collaborative learning space?
   c. If room remains as a computer lab for teaching, can the noise from the hallways be controlled?
   d. Mike Wick noted that the computer lab will likely be a technology hub and used more by students checking email between classes rather than a computer lab for teaching.
   e. Computer lab will not be monitored and will need printer access.
   f. Mike Wick commented that omitting the walls and leaving it as an open area could be an idea worth pursuing.
   g. Jim Boulter noted that areas are needed for fixed computers at standing and seated positions as well as open lounge seating.
   h. Ben Ponkratz noted that an open, informal computer lab space would be appealing to students.
   i. Val Schute noted that double doors should be shown at the vestibule.
   j. A decision to retain or omit the classroom function of the computer lab is needed in order to further develop this area. Direction provided to Design Team was to downsize the computer lab to 28 stations and provide a wider hallway. UW-Eau Claire to review programmatic function of this area.

4. The interior design was reviewed and discussed. The following items were noted:
   a. Cyber Café:
      - Porcelain tile with a stone appearance to be used at the floor.
      - Wood ceiling. Ash currently being proposed.
      - 30" high tile wainscot.
b. First Floor Lobby:
   - Mike Wick noted that an entrance should be provided into the Blugold Beginnings room at the southwest corner.
   - Coty Ekhoff noted that the 2x8 plank style acoustical ceiling panels proposed for the Education Building were also used at UW-Whitewater’s Hyland Hall.

c. South Corridor:
   - Full-height borrowed windows into the classrooms were accepted by the Committee.
   - Ceiling system proposed integrates lighting and HVAC into the plank ceiling.
   - Jim Boulter asked if the lighting will incorporate stepped balustrading to decrease energy usage. Val Schute noted that the lighting will be occupancy and daylight controlled. Currently there is no intention of step balustrading the lighting.

d. South Collaboration Area:
   - Wood ceiling proposed.
   - Porcelain tile wood grain floor originally proposed. SmithGroup looking at carpet tile to soften this area and help acoustic performance.
   - Gail Scukanec noted that demarcation of these areas is a positive approach.
   - Partial height wall to be used to block the view of the vending machine area. Design Team to look at relocating the vending to the eastern portion of the first floor where it can accommodate students that may be waiting for the bus.
   - Gypsum board walls at the center area around restrooms and computer lab.

e. Collaborative Learning Areas:
   - Gail Scukanec asked that soft-lined furniture be used.
   - Recycling containers were discussed. Should built-in casework be used in lieu of loose containers?

f. West Stair:
   - Fire shutter currently indicated at the west stair tower on levels 1, 2, and 3.
   - Stair doors to be equipped with magnetic hold open devices.
   - Exterior doors to be painted to match the color of the stone panels. Doors will be exit only and will not have hardware on the exterior.

g. Typical Office:
   - Shape of desk to be determined. Amount of storage affects the accessibility around the desk. The English Department expressed a concern for additional bookshelves. An L-shaped desk could be used and the entry door could be moved to accommodate bookshelves along one wall of the office behind the door.

h. Classrooms:
   - Coty Ekhoff noted that the color distribution strategy within the building is currently by function, not by floor.
   - Debbie Gough expressed concern regarding color dating the building and use of colors that are disliked.
   - Jim Boulter proposed using colors of nature to blend with the natural finishes.

5. Discussion was held regarding Active Learning Classrooms. The following items were noted:
   - Val Schute asked if the University is committed to this teaching style. Gail Scukanec noted that the University needs to move in the direction of an active learning style.
   - Duffy Duyfhuizen suggested setting up the 60 seat classrooms for future conversion to an active learning arrangement since it is highly unlikely that the University would change existing classrooms on campus to this style of teaching.
   - Mike Wick commented that the University is likely to move away from lecture capture. Mike also commented that it would be preferred if these rooms are set up with empty conduits to future equipment locations for active learning.
6. Discussion took place regarding the south corridor on the first and second floors. The following items were noted:
   • Mike Wick expressed a concern regarding the south corridor width and suggested the design team look at making it wider. The following additional comments were noted:
   • Gail Scukanec commented that the number of exits out of the building as well as stair locations may help control traffic in the south corridor.
   • Jim Boulter commented that the corridor will be used as transit space during the winter months.
   • The window sill height is currently shown at 9 inches. River Architects and SmithGroup noted that there are other windows and entrance frames on campus with glazing near grade.
   • Bicycle parking outside of the south corridor is unfavorable per the Building Committee.
   • Duffy Duytuizen noted that the recesses under the exterior colonnade may provide space for outdoor seating.

7. The next meeting is scheduled for October 6, 2011 from 1:00 to 4:00.

Meeting Notes by: Michael J. Adler, Associate AIA

This constitutes our understanding of the issues presented. Contact River Architects, Inc. via phone at (608) 785-2217, or e-mail m.adler@river-architects.com if there are any discrepancies.