REPORT FOR THE UNIVERSITY SENATE

University Senate Committee: Academic Policies Committee

Brief History of Issue - why the issue is being considered:

The purpose of this motion is to provide consistency between the FASRP text and the table on page 120. The text indicates no APC action; the table indicates APC action.

Relevant sections of the FASRP:

Article Four: Personnel Policies and Procedures, Section A, Number 3 (Page 35)

m. Extramural Funds received by University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire

Any grant or contract for extramural funding which will be administered through the University of Wisconsin System must be accepted by the Board of Regents. Grant proposals must be cleared with Department Chairs, related Dean(s) and/or Directors, and the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs as a part of the UW-Eau Claire review/approval process. Assistance in preparing a proposal and arranging for UW-Eau Claire concurrence is available from the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs.

Request for released-time should follow the normal administrative channel from the Department Chair to the Dean of the College to the Provost and Vice Chancellor.

Relevant section on “Undergraduate Academic Program Changes” table on page 120:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Proposal</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dept or equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Apply for extramural grants/programs with new curricular implications</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Points Discussed by Committee:

APC takes no action on extramural grants. Year 1999 was the last reference in the APC minutes to an external grant, and no action was taken at that time—information only. Requiring grant applications to go through APC would constrain the ability of faculty to apply for grants on a timely basis. Grant applications already have a checks and balance procedure in place.

Pros of Recommendation:

The FASRP should provide consistent information. The grant applications do not come through APC anyway. Changing the “action” to “Information Only” would enable APC to be informed of funded programs while simultaneously enabling university members the ability to see external funding on a more timely basis than if they had to seek approval from APC.

Cons of Recommendation:

APC does not want to be placed in a position of having to approve funding for a program that does not align with the university mission because a grant was obtained to fund such a program; likewise, APC does not want to place a faculty member in a position of liability resulting from APC rejecting a program proposal for which funding has already been obtained.

Technology/Human Resource Impact:

None

Committee Recommendation:

APC proposes to remove “apply for” from “apply for extramural grants/programs with new curricular requirements” and to replace all Ys with Is concerning “extramural grants/programs with new curricular requirements” from the Dept. or equivalent through University Senate columns in the chart on page 120 of the Faculty and Academic Staff Rules and Procedures document.
MOTION FOR THE UNIVERSITY SENATE

The University Senate Committee:

by a vote of __8__ for to __0__ against on ___November 1, 2011_______

Recommends that

the “Undergraduate Academic Program Changes” table on page 120 of the *Faculty and Academic Staff Rules and Procedures* be revised as follows:

1. Remove “apply for” from “Apply for extramural grants/programs with new curricular requirements”
2. Replace all Ys with Is concerning “extramural grants/programs with new curricular requirements” from the Dept. or equivalent through University Senate columns

Implementation Date: Immediate; January 2012 for publication

Signed: _______________________________________

Chair of the Committee

Send to: University Senate Office